# SIGNALSQLUTIONS



K. D. DONOHUE<sup>1</sup>, D. HUFFMAN<sup>1</sup>, T. L. CAMACHO<sup>2</sup>, C. KRZYZANIAK<sup>2</sup>, B. F. O'HARA<sup>1,4</sup>, M. F. HAMMER<sup>3</sup>, S. SUNDERAM<sup>5</sup>; <sup>1</sup>Signal Solutions, LLC, Lexington, KY; <sup>2</sup>Univ. of Arizona, Tucson, AZ; <sup>3</sup>BIO5 Inst., Univ. of Kentucky, Lexington, KY; <sup>5</sup>Dept. of Biology, Univ. of Kentucky, Lexington, KY; <sup>5</sup>Dept. of Biology, Univ. of Kentucky, Lexington, KY; <sup>4</sup>Dept. Of Biology, Univ. of Kentucky, Lexington, KY

# I. INTRODUCTION

Motivation: Preclinical epilepsy research often requires assessments for the number and severity of seizures in mouse model studies. This is typically accomplished through time-consuming tedious human effort to review EEG/EMG signals or video recordings. As a result, this also limits the number of mice studied in an experiment.

Approach to Address Problem: Develop automatic noninvasive prescreen algorithms for mouse seizure events based on sudden changes in activity/motion patterns captured by cage-floor pressure sensors. Detection algorithms are designed to miss very few seizure events and efficient visualization interfaces are provided for human observers to jump to algorithm detected events and remove the false positives. Thereby greatly reducing the time and expense for assessing experimental results.





Normal Activity Burst

Seizure

This poster summarizes results from machine learning studies to assess the feasibility of a time-saving method for the assessment of epileptic seizures in mice.

## **II. METHODS**

**Experiment:** Feasibility was tested using 10 Scn8a mice (5m/5f, 1-3 months old) that exhibited seizures. These were continuously monitored for several days with piezoelectric sensors (located beneath the cage floor) and simultaneous video recordings.



- Video recordings were synchronized with of the cage-floor piezoelectric pressure signals and human observers labeled all observed seizure events by marking the event times, which were stored in a database for the training and testing of machine learning algorithms.
- Six piezoelectric signal features related to signal energy and coherence were extracted over 2-second intervals with 1-second increments (50% overlap). These were examined to determine the feature with the best response to seizure onsets without regard to other non-seizure arousal responses as the first pass detection.
- Feature sequence regions were then defined based on sustained high values from a smoothed version of the feature sequence.
- Patterns of features within and around the region were characterized with regionbased features and with machine learning algorithms to regress to likelihood values that maximized the seizure detection rate while minimizing false positives.
- The dataset provided many more non-seizure arousals, so a 5-fold crossvalidation train and test method was used in conjunction with a bootstrapped sampling strategy to efficiently use data for performance estimation.

# Performance assessments of semi-automated noninvasive seizure detection in Scn8a mice



Hours

A locally scaled Teager Energy (TE) feature was found to have the most consistent strong response to seizure events (more than regular energy, line

## Phase 3: Likelihood regression:

- Mean and Peak TE; Peak TE Relative position standard deviation over mean TE: Ratio of TE
- \_\_\_ Regression algorithm derived with an **Optimizable Ensemble Bagged** Decision Tree.
- \_\_\_\_ Ensemble decision tree regressor on 6 sequence-based estimated seizure likelihood, where 0 implies low likelihood and 5 implies high likelihood
- Implemented in MATLAB R2023b (MathWorks, Natick, MA)

## Seizure Example:



Ten bootstrapped 5-fold cross-validation train-and-test sequences were performed with 200 randomly selected seizure and 200 randomly selected non-seizure labeled events. A bagged decision tree with 127 learners was used in each resampling. A recall and precision curve was created from the test outputs from each crossvalidation by sweeping a threshold over the validation likelihood values and computing the recall and precision pair for each threshold. The average curve was computed over the 10 bootstrapped results and the 95% confidence values were also computed and shown with the dashed red lines.

A linear regressor was also developed and tested with the same sampling procedure as above and for 90% recall it only achieved a 68% precision and at 95% recall, it dropped to 60% precision.

trained **VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** This work was supported in part by an awards from National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Neurologic Disorders and Stroke; Grant No. NS107148 (PI: S. Sunderam) Small Business Research programs (SBIR/STTR)

![](_page_0_Picture_43.jpeg)

# **IV. PERFORMANCE RESULTS**

Train and test samples were selected from 387 video verified seizures and 7907 non-seizure arousal events as determined by peak TE points.

![](_page_0_Figure_47.jpeg)

### Likelihood Threshold Values (0-5)

Expected Recall	Threshold	Precision
85%	3.32	92%
90%	2.67	90%
95%	1.56	85%
99%	0.78	77%

# V. CONCLUSIONS

Results demonstrate the feasibility of using cage-floor pressure sensors to detect sudden changes in activity as a screening tool for assessing the number of seizures in mice to greatly reduce the number of video sequences needing to be viewed and labeled.

• **Example:** For a desired 90% seizure detection rate, a resulting 90% precision implies that 10% of the detected arousals that are not seizures will need to be viewed along with the true detected seizures. If the first pass detection resulted in 1000 arousal detections, only 100 of those will need to be viewed for false positive rejection after the second pass detection.

A similar video interface used to observe and label events can also present include likelihood with timestamp to advance video to the detected positions and skipping over the low likelihood portions of the video.

The locally scaled TE and it regions were superior to regular energy and line length features to obtain higher recall and precision values. This likely due to the tremoring during the seizure events that TE is more sensitive to given the high frequency emphasis in its computation.

Most seizures in this study were tonic and the algorithms learned these patterns. For other types of mice/seizures, a new algorithm may need to be

![](_page_0_Picture_57.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_59.jpeg)